

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/661,137		NORTE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Benny Lee		2817	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Benny Lee.

(2) David Volejnicek.

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

4, 6, 11, 18, 19

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*It was agreed to clarify the claims and specification to indicated that the inductance was --set-- rather than "tuned" by --selecting-- rather than "varying" the conductor width, as to properly characterize the invention. See accompanying examiners amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)